

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. ,	
09/000,366	01/28/1998	MASAHITO HOASHI	HOASHI=2	5189	
· 1444 7	7590 05/12/2003	•			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	·	·	BECKER, DREW E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1761	37-	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			.	mx:
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/000,366 HOASHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
	The MAN INC DATE And	Drew E Becker	1761	
Period to	• •			ss
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS In cause the application to become ARANDI	to e timely filed I days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this common control (35 LISC 6.232).	unication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2003 .		
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ince except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the m 1, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 3-16 is/are pending in the appli	ication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	on Papers	•		
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Examiner		•	
10)∐ Т	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)⊡ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		,
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No	2
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd se the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ived in this National Stag	je
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			lication).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been re	eceived.	
Attachment(33		
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152	
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 37	·

Application/Control Number: 09/000,366

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-6, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 2. unpatentable over CA 1213170A in view of Vitkovsky [Pat. No. 4,687,672]. CA 1213170A teaches a method for thawing frozen ground meat by freezing the ground meat at -40°C (page 14, line 21), comminuting the frozen meat in two steps (page 15, lines 4-20), thawing with elevated temperature and without mashing or additives (page) 16, lines 15-25), and comminuting to a size of 0.125-0.75" or 3-19 mm (page 6, lines 11-12). CA 1213170A does not specifically recite fish or milling at less than -15°C. Vitkovsky teaches a method of milling frozen minced fish (column 9, line 3) to a size of 5-12 mm (column 5, line 13) by freezing it to a temperature of 0 to -196°C (column 6, line 23) and then milling the frozen minced fish (Figure 1, 10 & 35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use fish as the meat source of CA 1213170A, in view of Vitkovsky, since both are directed to methods of milling frozen meats, since CA 1213170A already teaches using "other edible animal flesh" (page 6, line 8), since fish meat is edible animal flesh, and since Vitkovsky teaches that minced fish was commonly frozen and milled. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the milling temperature of Vitkovsky into the invention of CA

. Application/Control Number: 09/000,366

Art Unit: 1761

1213170A since both are directed to methods of milling frozen meat, since CA 1213170A already included freezing at -40°C (page 14, line 21), and since Vitkovsky teaches that milling at low temperatures causes the food to become frangible and thus more easily milled (column 1, lines 55-60).

3. Claims 7 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CA 1213170A in view of Vitkovsky as applied above, and further in view of Katoh et al [Pat. No. 4,950,494].

CA 1213170A and Vitkovsky teach the above mentioned concepts. CA 1213170A and Vitkovsky do not teach using a pin mixer to stir in additives such as seasoning, starch, sugar, or polyphosphate. Katoh et al teach a method of processing fish paste by mixing in seasoning and starch (column 7, line 5) by using a pin mixer (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the mixing of Katoh et al into the invention of CA 1213170A, in view of Vitkovsky, since all are directed to methods of processing ground meat, since Vitkovsky already included fish meat, since additives were commonly known to enhance flavor and other food properties, and since pin mixers were commonly used to add ingredients to ground meat as shown by Katoh et al.

4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al in view of CA 1213170A and JP 06133739A.

Katoh et al teach a method of producing kamaboko by molding thawed, ground fish paste (column 6, lines 42-51) and heating the molded fish in two steps to induce gelling (column 6, lines 53-64). Katoh et al do not teach milling frozen, ground fish meat or

. Application/Control Number: 09/000,366

Art Unit: 1761

heating with electricity. CA 1213170A teaches a method for thawing frozen ground meat by milling the frozen meat (page 15, lines 4-20), a temperature of -40°C (page 14, line 21), and thawing with elevated temperature (page 16, lines 15-25). JP 06133739A teaches a method of producing molded fish paste products by heating with electricity (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the milling of CA 1213170A into the invention of Katoh et al since both are directed to producing ground meat products, since Katoh et al already teaches thawing (column 7, line 1), and since milling prior to thawing would result in reduced thawing time due to the reduction in surface area in relation to volume as taught by CA 1213170A (page 6, lines 13-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the electric thawing of JP 06133739A into the invention of Katoh et al since both are directed to the processing of fish paste products, since Katoh et al already includes heating, and since electric heating was commonly known and used for fish paste products as shown by JP 06133739A.

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh et al, in view of CA 1231170A, JP 06133739A, and Vitkovsky.

Katoh et al, CA 1231170A, JP 06133739A, and Vitkovsky teach the above mentioned concepts. Katoh et al, CA 1231170A, JP 06133739A, and Vitkovsky are combined for the above mentioned reasons and since all are directed to methods of processing meat products.

· Application/Control Number: 09/000,366

Art Unit: 1761

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that CA 1213170A does not teach "thawing without shearing". However, CA 1213170A specifically recites "spreading of a single layer of particles of the meat product 58 onto a tray or plate at a normal ambient temperature in the range of 72°F to 80°F will result in complete thawing of the product particles" (page 16, lines 19-21).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, CA 1213170A is directed to a method of thawing frozen ground meat, and Vitkovsky is directed to a method of milling frozen minced fish. It would have been obvious to one of ordainry skill in the art to combine the teachings of CA 1213170A and Vitkovsky since CA 1213170A already teaches using "other edible animal flesh" (page 6, line 8), since fish meat is edible animal flesh, and since Vitkovsky teaches that minced fish was commonly frozen and milled; since CA 1213170A already included freezing at -40°C (page 14, line 21),

and since Vitkovsky teaches that milling at low temperatures causes the food to become frangible and thus more easily milled (column 1, lines 55-60).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

> Examiner Art Unit 1761

Page 7

May 5, 2003